

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,722	01/18/2000	Seiichi Kobayashi	FUЛ 16.959	1025
7	2590 01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
K M Z Rosenman 575 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10020			RYMAN, DANIEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion O const	09/484,722	KOBAYASHI, SEIICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Ryman	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ation. 1 ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>22 November 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 9 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-13) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		//Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/484,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-7 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi (USPN 6,363,064) in view of Hayball et al. (USPN 6,018,625).
- 4. Regarding claim 9, Yamaguchi discloses a transmission apparatus (ref. A) comprising: an ATM/user network interface which makes a contact point with a first user network management system (controller of ref. B or C) which handles first resource management information concerning a first cell assembly and disassembly unit (ref. 1B or 1C: CLAD) which is accommodated outside of the transmission apparatus as an external unit (Fig. 1; col. 1, line 43-50; and col. 2, lines 54-67); a LAN interface which makes a contact point with a second user network management system (controller of ref. A) which handles second resource management information concerning a second cell assembly and disassembly unit (ref. 1A: CLAD) which is directly accommodated in the transmission apparatus (Fig. 1; col. 1, line 43-50; and col. 2, lines 54-67); and a switch (ref. 2A; col. 1, line 43-50; and col. 2, lines 54-67); wherein the transmission apparatus is configured to allow the first user network management system and the

Art Unit: 2665

second user network management system to communicate with each other using at least one logically defined resource management path permanently set in the switch (col. 2, line 67-col. 3, line 4 and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25), and wherein the transmission apparatus further comprises an interface via which both the first and second resource management information can be sent to the first and second user network management systems (col. 2, line 67-col. 3, line 4 and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25).

Yamaguchi does not expressly disclose an external interface which makes a contact point with a customer network management agent process or that the transmission apparatus is configured to allow the customer network management agent process, the first user network management system and the second user network management system to communicate with each other using at least one logically defined resource management path permanently set in the switch. However, Yamaguchi does disclose having the management systems communicate with each other using at least one logically defined resource management path permanently set in the switch (col. 2, line 67-col. 3, line 4 and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25). Hayball teaches, in a communication system, using a network management agent process in order to manage the operations, administration, maintenance, and provisioning of resources in a network (col. 1, line 47-col. 2, line 9). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have an external interface which makes a contact point with a customer network management agent process and to have the network management agent process communicate with the other management systems using a PVC through the switch since network management agent processes are used to manage the operations, administration, maintenance, and provisioning of resources in a network.

Art Unit: 2665

Yamaguchi does not expressly disclose that the transmission apparatus further comprises an interface via which both the first and second resource management information can be sent to a transaction language (TL1) management subsystem which performs a facility node resource management in an STM transmission and a common management information service element (CMISE) subsystem, and can further be sent to the customer network management agent process and the first and second user network management systems. Hayball teaches, in a communication system, that TL1 is a well-known management protocol (col. 2, lines 24-29). Hayball also teaches that CMISE is a well-known management system (col. 2, lines 32-37). Finally, Hayball teaches that management system communicate with one another over a dedicated channel (col. 2, lines 15-21). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the transmission apparatus further comprises an interface via which both the first and second resource management information can be sent to a transaction language (TL1) management subsystem which performs a facility node resource management and a common management information service element (CMISE) subsystem, and can further be sent to the customer network management agent process and the first and second user network management systems in order to accommodate different well-known management protocols in the system.

Yamaguchi in view of Hayball does not expressly disclose that the TL1 management subsystem is used in an STM transmission. However, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball does disclose that the management systems are used to interoperate networks using different protocols (Hayball: col. 2, lines 8-15). Examiner takes official notice that STM is a well-known transmission protocol. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2665

time of the invention to use the TL1 management system in an STM transmission since STM is a well-known transmission protocol.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball discloses means for setting a resource management information path used for resource management for a cell assembly and disassembly unit (Yamaguchi: col. 1, line 43-50; col. 2, lines 54-67; col. 2, line 67col. 3, line 4; and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25 and Hayball: col. 1, line 47-col. 2, line 9) where Yamaguchi discloses setting a communication path for the management system to the CLAD and Hayball discloses using a management system to manage resources.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball discloses means for setting a resource management information path used for resource management for the first cell assembly and disassembly unit which is accommodated outside of the transmission apparatus as an external unit (Yamaguchi: col. 1, line 43-50; col. 2, lines 54-67; col. 2, line 67col. 3, line 4; and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25 and Hayball: col. 1, line 47-col. 2, line 9) where Yamaguchi discloses setting a communication path for the management system to the CLAD and Hayball discloses using a management system to manage resources.
- 7. Regarding claim 4, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball discloses means for setting a resource management information path used for resource management for the second cell assembly and disassembly unit which is directly accommodated in the transmission apparatus (Yamaguchi: col. 1, line 43-50; col. 2, lines 54-67; col. 2, line 67-col. 3, line 4; and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25 and Hayball: col. 1, line 47-col. 2, line 9) where Yamaguchi discloses setting a communication path for the management system to the CLAD and Hayball discloses using a management system to manage resources.

8. Regarding claim 5, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball implicitly discloses a controller which provides, through the resource management information path, information having a format understandable by the customer network management agent process (Hayball: col. 2, lines 8-15) where it is implicit that the format must be understandable by each process in order for the management system to properly function.

Page 6

- 9. Regarding claim 6, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball discloses a controller which sends information extracted from the resource management information path to the customer network management agent process via an external interface (Yamaguchi: col. 1, line 43-50; col. 2, lines 54-67; col. 2, line 67-col. 3, line 4; and col. 4, line 67-col. 5, line 25 and Hayball: col. 1, line 47-col. 2, line 9).
- 10. Regarding claim 7, referring to claim 9, Yamaguchi in view of Hayball suggests means for performing a resource management of a facility node in an STM transmission and a resource management of a cell assembly and disassembly unit in an ATM transmission (Hayball: col. 2, lines 8-15) where STM is a well-known protocol.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/484,722

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Ryman
Examiner
Art Unit 2665

Page 7

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600